



$$J = \frac{1}{2}$$

The following results are obtained using neutrinos associated with  $e^+$  or  $e^-$ . See Note on "Electron, muon, and tau neutrinos."

### $\bar{\nu}$ MASS

Those limits given below for  $\bar{\nu}$  mass that come from the kinematics of  ${}^3\text{H}\beta^-\bar{\nu}$  decay are the square roots of limits for  $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})}$ . These are obtained from the measurements reported in the Listings for " $\bar{\nu}$  Mass Squared," below.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt; 3 OUR EVALUATION</b>				
< 2.5	95	<sup>1</sup> LOBASHEV 99	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
< 2.8	95	<sup>2</sup> WEINHEIMER 99	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
<23		LOREDO 89	ASTR	SN 1987A
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 4.35	95	<sup>3</sup> BELESEV 95	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
<12.4	95	<sup>4</sup> CHING 95	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
<92	95	<sup>5</sup> HIDDEMANN 95	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
15 $\begin{smallmatrix} +32 \\ -15 \end{smallmatrix}$		HIDDEMANN 95	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
<19.6	95	KERNAN 95	ASTR	SN 1987A
< 7.0	95	<sup>6</sup> STOEFFL 95	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
< 7.2	95	<sup>7</sup> WEINHEIMER 93	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
<11.7	95	<sup>8</sup> HOLZSCHUH 92B	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
<13.1	95	<sup>9</sup> KAWAKAMI 91	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
< 9.3	95	<sup>10</sup> ROBERTSON 91	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay
<14	95	AVIGNONE 90	ASTR	SN 1987A
<16		SPERGEL 88	ASTR	SN 1987A
17 to 40		<sup>11</sup> BORIS 87	SPEC	${}^3\text{H} \beta$ decay

<sup>1</sup> LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELESEV 95. This limit depends on phenomenological fit parameters used to derive their best fit to  $m_{\nu}^2$ , making unambiguous interpretation difficult. See the footnote under " $\bar{\nu}$  Mass Squared."

<sup>2</sup> WEINHEIMER 99 presents two analyses which exclude the spectral anomaly and result in an acceptable  $m_{\nu}^2$ . We report the most conservative limit, but the other (< 2.7 eV) is nearly the same. See the footnote under " $\bar{\nu}$  Mass Squared."

<sup>3</sup> BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. A fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly) plus a monochromatic line 7–15 eV below the endpoint yields  $m_{\nu}^2 = -4.1 \pm 10.9 \text{ eV}^2$ , leading to this Bayesian limit.

<sup>4</sup> CHING 95 quotes results previously given by SUN 93; no experimental details are given. A possible explanation for consistently negative values of  $m_{\nu}^2$  is given.

<sup>5</sup> HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. Bayesian limit calculated from the weighted mean  $m_{\nu}^2 = 221 \pm 4244 \text{ eV}^2$  from the two runs listed below.

- <sup>6</sup> STOEFFL 95 (LLNL) result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the  $m_\nu^2$  errors given below but with  $m_\nu^2$  set equal to 0. The anomalous endpoint accumulation leads to a value of  $m_\nu^2$  which is negative by more than 5 standard deviations.
- <sup>7</sup> WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium  $\beta$  spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.
- <sup>8</sup> HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) result is obtained from the measurement  $m_\nu^2 = -24 \pm 48 \pm 61$  ( $1\sigma$  errors), in  $\text{eV}^2$ , using the PDG prescription for conversion to a limit in  $m_\nu$ .
- <sup>9</sup> KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid. This result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the  $m_\nu^2$  limit with the errors combined in quadrature. This was also done in ROBERTSON 91, although the authors report a different procedure.
- <sup>10</sup> ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that  $m_\nu$  lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive  $m^2$  is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.
- <sup>11</sup> See also comment in BORIS 87B and erratum in BORIS 88.

## $\bar{\nu}$ MASS SQUARED

Given troubling systematics which result in improbably negative estimators of  $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})}$  in many experiments, we use only WEINHEIMER 99 and LOBASHEV 99 for our average, as discussed above in the Note on the "Electron, muon, and tau neutrinos."

VALUE ( $\text{eV}^2$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-2.5 \pm 3.3</math></b>	<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>			
$-1.9 \pm 3.4 \pm 2.2$		<sup>12</sup> LOBASHEV 99	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
$-3.7 \pm 5.3 \pm 2.1$		<sup>13</sup> WEINHEIMER 99	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-22 \pm 4.8$		<sup>14</sup> BELESEV 95	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
$129 \pm 6010$		<sup>15</sup> HIDDEMANN 95	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
$313 \pm 5994$		<sup>15</sup> HIDDEMANN 95	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
$-130 \pm 20 \pm 15$	95	<sup>16</sup> STOEFFL 95	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
$-31 \pm 75 \pm 48$		<sup>17</sup> SUN 93	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
$-39 \pm 34 \pm 15$		<sup>18</sup> WEINHEIMER 93	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
$-24 \pm 48 \pm 61$		<sup>19</sup> HOLZSCHUH 92B	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
$-65 \pm 85 \pm 65$		<sup>20</sup> KAWAKAMI 91	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay
$-147 \pm 68 \pm 41$		<sup>21</sup> ROBERTSON 91	SPEC	$^3\text{H}$ $\beta$ decay

<sup>12</sup> LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELESEV 95. The data were corrected for electron trapping effects in the source, eliminating the dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval. The analysis assuming a pure beta spectrum yields significantly negative fitted  $m_\nu^2 \approx -(20-10) \text{eV}^2$ . This problem is attributed to a discrete spectral anomaly of about  $6 \times 10^{-11}$  intensity with a time-dependent energy of 5–15 eV below the endpoint. The data analysis accounts for this anomaly by introducing two extra phenomenological fit parameters resulting in a best fit of  $m_\nu^2 = -1.9 \pm 3.4 \pm 2.2 \text{eV}^2$  which is used to derive a neutrino mass limit. However, the introduction of phenomenological fit parameters which are correlated with the derived  $m_\nu^2$  limit makes unambiguous interpretation of this result difficult.

- <sup>13</sup> WEINHEIMER 99 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 93 . Using a lower temperature of the frozen tritium source eliminated the dewetting of the  $T_2$  film, which introduced a dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval in the earlier work. An indication for a spectral anomaly reported in LOBASHEV 99 has been seen, but its time dependence does not agree with LOBASHEV 99. Two analyses, which exclude the spectral anomaly either by choice of the analysis interval or by using a particular data set which does not exhibit the anomaly, result in acceptable  $m_\nu^2$  fits and are used to derive the neutrino mass limit published by the authors. We list the most conservative of the two.
- <sup>14</sup> BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. This value comes from a fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly), including the effects of an apparent peak 7–15 eV below the endpoint.
- <sup>15</sup> HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. They quote measurements from two data sets.
- <sup>16</sup> STOEFFL 95 (LLNL) uses a gaseous source of molecular tritium. An anomalous pileup of events at the endpoint leads to the negative value for  $m_\nu^2$ . The authors acknowledge that “the negative value for the best fit of  $m_\nu^2$  has no physical meaning” and discuss possible explanations for this effect.
- <sup>17</sup> SUN 93 uses a tritiated hydrocarbon source. See also CHING 95.
- <sup>18</sup> WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium  $\beta$  spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.
- <sup>19</sup> HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) source is a monolayer of tritiated hydrocarbon.
- <sup>20</sup> KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid.
- <sup>21</sup> ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that  $m_\nu$  lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive  $m_\nu^2$  is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.

## $\nu$ MASS

These are measurement of  $m_\nu$  (in contrast to  $m_{\bar{\nu}}$ , given above). The masses can be different for a Dirac neutrino in the absence of  $CPT$  invariance. The possible distinction between  $\nu$  and  $\bar{\nu}$  properties is usually ignored elsewhere in these Listings.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 460	68	YASUMI	94 CNTR	$^{163}\text{Ho}$ decay
< 225	95	SPRINGER	87 CNTR	$^{163}\text{Ho}$ decay
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< $4.5 \times 10^5$	90	CLARK	74 ASPK	$K_{e3}$ decay
<4100	67	BECK	68 CNTR	$^{22}\text{Na}$ decay

## $\nu$ CHARGE

VALUE (units: electron charge)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$< 2 \times 10^{-14}$	<sup>22</sup> RAFFELT	99 ASTR	Red giant luminosity
$< 6 \times 10^{-14}$	<sup>23</sup> RAFFELT	99 ASTR	Solar cooling
$< 2 \times 10^{-15}$	<sup>24</sup> BARBIELLINI	87 ASTR	SN 1987A
$< 1 \times 10^{-13}$	BERNSTEIN	63 ASTR	Solar energy losses

- <sup>22</sup> This RAFFELT 99 limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants.
- <sup>23</sup> This RAFFELT 99 limit is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun, and applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the sun.
- <sup>24</sup> Precise BARBIELLINI 87 limit depends on assumptions about the intergalactic or galactic magnetic fields and about the direct distance and time through the field.

### $\nu$ MEAN LIFE

Measures  $\left[\sum |U_{\ell j}|^2 \Gamma_j\right]^{-1}$ , where the sum is over mass eigenstates which cannot be resolved experimentally. In most cases the limit pertains to any decaying neutrino. See footnotes for qualifications and exceptions.

VALUE (s)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
		25 BILLER	98 ASTR	$m_\nu = 0.05\text{--}1$ eV
		26 COWSIK	89 ASTR	$m_\nu = 1\text{--}50$ MeV
		27 RAFFELT	89 RVUE	$\bar{\nu}$ (Dirac, Majorana)
		28 RAFFELT	89B ASTR	
>278	90	29 LOSECCO	87B IMB	
> $1.1 \times 10^{25}$		30 HENRY	81 ASTR	$m_\nu = 16\text{--}20$ eV
> $10^{22}\text{--}10^{23}$		31 KIMBLE	81 ASTR	$m_\nu = 10\text{--}100$ eV

- <sup>25</sup> BILLER 98 use the observed TeV  $\gamma$ -ray spectra to set limits on the mean life of any radiatively decaying neutrino between 0.05 and 1 eV. Curve shows  $\tau_\nu/B_\gamma > 0.15 \times 10^{21}$  s at 0.05 eV,  $> 1.2 \times 10^{21}$  s at 0.17 eV,  $> 3 \times 10^{21}$  s at 1 eV, where  $B_\gamma$  is the branching ratio to photons.
- <sup>26</sup> COWSIK 89 use observations of supernova SN 1987A to set the limit for the lifetime of a neutrino with  $1 < m < 50$  MeV decaying through  $\nu_H \rightarrow \nu e e$  to be  $\tau > 4 \times 10^{15} \exp(-m/5 \text{ MeV})$  s.
- <sup>27</sup> RAFFELT 89 uses KYULDJIEV 84 to obtain  $\tau m^3 > 3 \times 10^{18} \text{ s eV}^3$  (based on  $\bar{\nu} e^-$  cross sections). The bound is not valid if electric and magnetic transition moments are equal for Dirac neutrinos.
- <sup>28</sup> RAFFELT 89B analyze stellar evolution and exclude the region  $3 \times 10^{12} < \tau m^3 < 3 \times 10^{21} \text{ s eV}^3$ .
- <sup>29</sup> LOSECCO 87B assumes observed rate of 2.1 SNU (solar neutrino units) comes from sun while  $7.0 \pm 3.0$  is theory.
- <sup>30</sup> HENRY 81 uses UV flux from clusters of galaxies to find limit for radiative decay.
- <sup>31</sup> KIMBLE 81 uses extreme UV flux limits.

### $\nu$ (MEAN LIFE) / MASS

Measures  $\left[\sum |U_{e j}|^2 \Gamma_j m_j\right]^{-1}$ , where the sum is over mass eigenstates which cannot be resolved experimentally. For many of the ASTR papers (RAFFELT 85 excepted), the limit applies to any  $\nu$  in the indicated mass range.

VALUE (s/eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> <b><math>7 \times 10^9</math></b>		32 RAFFELT	85 ASTR	
> <b>300</b>	90	33 REINES	74 CNTR	$\bar{\nu}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> $2.8 \times 10^{15}$		34,35	BLUDMAN	92	ASTR	$m_\nu < 50$ eV
> 6.4	90	36	KRAKAUER	91	CNTR	$\nu$ at LAMPF
> $6.3 \times 10^{15}$		35,37	CHUPP	89	ASTR	$m_\nu < 20$ eV
> $1.7 \times 10^{15}$		35	KOLB	89	ASTR	$m_\nu < 20$ eV
> $8.3 \times 10^{14}$		38	VONFEILIT...	88	ASTR	
> 22	68	39	OBERAUER	87		$\bar{\nu}_R$ (Dirac)
> 38	68	39	OBERAUER	87		$\bar{\nu}$ (Majorana)
> 59	68	39	OBERAUER	87		$\bar{\nu}_L$ (Dirac)
> 30	68		KETOV	86	CNTR	$\bar{\nu}$ (Dirac)
> 20	68		KETOV	86	CNTR	$\bar{\nu}$ (Majorana)
> $2 \times 10^{21}$		40	STECKER	80	ASTR	$m_\nu = 10\text{--}100$ eV

<sup>32</sup> RAFFELT 85 limit is from solar  $x$ - and  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes. Limit depends on  $\nu$  flux from  $pp$ , now established from GALLEX and SAGE to be  $> 0.5$  of expectation.

<sup>33</sup> REINES 74 looked for  $\nu$  of nonzero mass decaying to a neutral of lesser mass +  $\gamma$ . Used liquid scintillator detector near fission reactor. Finds lab lifetime  $6 \times 10^7$  s or more. Above value of (mean life)/mass assumes average effective neutrino energy of 0.2 MeV. To obtain the limit  $6 \times 10^7$  s REINES 74 assumed that the full  $\bar{\nu}$  reactor flux could be responsible for yielding decays with photon energies in the interval 0.1 MeV – 0.5 MeV. This represents some overestimate so their lower limit is an over-estimate of the lab lifetime (VOGEL 84). If so, OBERAUER 87 may be comparable or better.

<sup>34</sup> BLUDMAN 92 sets additional limits by this method for higher mass ranges. Cosmological limits are also obtained.

<sup>35</sup> Nonobservation of  $\gamma$ 's in coincidence with  $\nu$ 's from SN 1987A.

<sup>36</sup> KRAKAUER 91 quotes the limit  $\tau/m_\nu > (0.3a^2 + 9.8a + 15.9)$  s/eV, where  $a$  is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the neutrino decay defined as  $dN_\gamma/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1 + a\cos\theta)$   $a=0$  for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from  $-1$  to  $1$  for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for  $a = -1$ ).

<sup>37</sup> CHUPP 89 should be multiplied by a branching ratio (about 1) and a detection efficiency (about 1/4), and pertains to radiative decay of any neutrino to a lighter or sterile neutrino.

<sup>38</sup> Model-dependent theoretical analysis of SN 1987A neutrinos.

<sup>39</sup> OBERAUER 87 bounds are from comparison of observed and expected rate of reactor neutrinos.

<sup>40</sup> STECKER 80 limit based on UV background; result given is  $\tau > 4 \times 10^{22}$  s at  $m_\nu = 20$  eV.

### $|(v - c) / c|$ ( $v \equiv \nu$ VELOCITY)

Expected to be zero for massless neutrino, but tests also whether photons and neutrinos have the same limiting velocity in vacuum.

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-8}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1	17	41 STODOLSKY	88 ASTR	SN 1987A
<b>&lt;0.2</b>		42 LONGO	87 ASTR	SN 1987A

<sup>41</sup> STODOLSKY 88 result based on  $<10$  hr between  $\bar{\nu}$  detection in IMB and KAMI detectors and beginning of light signal. Inclusion of the problematic 5 neutrino events from Mont Blanc (four hours later) does not change the result.

<sup>42</sup> LONGO 87 argues that uncertainty between light and neutrino transit times is  $\pm 3$  hr, ignoring Mont Blanc events.

## $\nu$ MAGNETIC MOMENT

Must vanish for a purely chiral massless Dirac neutrino. A massive Dirac or Majorana neutrino can have a transition magnetic moment connecting one mass eigenstate to another one. The experimental limits below usually cannot distinguish between the true (diagonal, in mass) magnetic moment and a transition magnetic moment. The value of the magnetic moment for the standard SU(2) $\times$ U(1) electroweak theory extended to include massive neutrinos (see FUJIKAWA 80) is  $\mu_\nu = 3eG_F m_\nu / (8\pi^2 \sqrt{2}) = (3.20 \times 10^{-19}) m_\nu \mu_B$  where  $m_\nu$  is in eV and  $\mu_B = e\hbar/2m_e$  is the Bohr magneton. Given the upper bound  $m_\nu < 3$  eV, it follows that for the extended standard electroweak theory,  $\mu_\nu < 1 \times 10^{-18} \mu_B$ . Current experiments are not yet challenging this limit. There is considerable controversy over the validity of many of the claimed upper limits on the magnetic moment from the astrophysical data. For example, VOLOSHIN 90 states that "in connection with the astrophysical limits on  $\mu_\nu$ , ... there is by now a general consensus that contrary to the initial claims (BARBIERI 88, LATTIMER 88, GOLDMAN 88, NOTZOLD 88), essentially no better than quoted limits (from previous constraints) can be derived from detection of the neutrino flux from the supernova SN1987A." See VOLOSHIN 88 and VOLOSHIN 88C.

<u>VALUE (<math>10^{-10} \mu_B</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< <b>1.5</b>	90	43 BEACOM	99 SKAM	$\nu$ spectrum shape
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 0.01–0.04		44 AYALA	99 ASTR	$\nu_L \rightarrow \nu_R$ in SN 1987A
< 0.03		45 RAFFELT	99 ASTR	Red giant luminosity
< 4		46 RAFFELT	99 ASTR	Solar cooling
< 0.62		47 ELMFORS	97 COSM	Depolarization in early universe plasma
< 1.9	95	48 DERBIN	93 CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu}e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}e$
< 2.4	90	49 VIDYAKIN	92 CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu}e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}e$
<10.8	90	50 KRAKAUER	90 CNTR	LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
< 0.02		51 RAFFELT	90 ASTR	Red giant luminosity
< 0.1		52 RAFFELT	89B ASTR	Cooling helium stars
		53 FUKUGITA	88 COSM	Primordial magn. fields
$\leq .3$		52 RAFFELT	88B ASTR	He burning stars
< 0.11		52 FUKUGITA	87 ASTR	Cooling helium stars
< 0.1–0.2		MORGAN	81 COSM	$^4\text{He}$ abundance
< 0.85		BEG	78 ASTR	Stellar plasmons
< 0.6		54 SUTHERLAND	76 ASTR	Red giants + degenerate dwarfs
< 1		BERNSTEIN	63 ASTR	Solar cooling
<14		COWAN	57 CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu}$

<sup>43</sup> BEACOM 99 obtain the limit using the shape, but not the absolute magnitude which is affected by oscillations, of the solar neutrino spectrum obtained by Superkamiokande (825 days). This  $\mu_\nu$  can be different from the reactor  $\mu_\nu$  in certain oscillation scenarios.

<sup>44</sup> AYALA 99 improves the limit of BARBIERI 88.

<sup>45</sup> RAFFELT 99 is an update of RAFFELT 90. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough ( $< 5$  keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole moments and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.

- 46 RAFFELT 99 is essentially an update of BERNSTEIN 63, but is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough ( $<1$  keV) to be emitted from the Sun. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- 47 ELMFORS 97 calculate the rate of depolarization in a plasma for neutrinos with a magnetic moment and use the constraints from a big-bang nucleosynthesis on additional degrees of freedom.
- 48 DERBIN 93 determine the cross section for 0.6–2.0 MeV electron energy as  $(1.28 \pm 0.63) \times \sigma_{\text{weak}}$ . However, the (reactor on – reactor off)/(reactor off) is only  $\sim 1/100$ .
- 49 VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a  $e\bar{\nu}_e$  elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses  $\sin^2\theta_{\text{WW}} = 0.23$  as input.
- 50 KRAKAUER 90 experiment fully reported in ALLEN 93.
- 51 RAFFELT 90 limit applies for a diagonal magnetic moment of a Dirac neutrino, or for a transition magnetic moment of a Majorana neutrino. In the latter case, the same analysis gives  $< 1.4 \times 10^{-12}$ . Limit at 95%CL obtained from  $\delta M_C$ .
- 52 Significant dependence on details of stellar models.
- 53 FUKUGITA 88 find magnetic dipole moments of any two neutrino species are bounded by  $\mu < 10^{-16}$  [ $10^{-9}$  G/ $B_0$ ] where  $B_0$  is the present-day intergalactic field strength.
- 54 We obtain above limit from SUTHERLAND 76 using their limit  $f < 1/3$ .

## NONSTANDARD CONTRIBUTIONS TO NEUTRINO SCATTERING

We report limits on the so-called neutrino charge radius squared in this section. This quantity is not an observable physical quantity and this is reflected in the fact that it is gauge dependent (see LEE 77C). It is not necessarily positive. A more general interpretation of the experimental results is that they are limits on certain nonstandard contributions to neutrino scattering.

VALUE ( $10^{-32}$ cm <sup>2</sup> )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
–2.97 to 4.14	90	55 AUERBACH	01 LSND	$\nu_e e \rightarrow \nu_e e$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$0.9 \pm 2.7$		ALLEN	93 CNTR	LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
$< 2.3$	95	MOURAO	92 ASTR	HOME/KAM2 $\nu$ rates
$< 7.3$	90	56 VIDYAKIN	92 CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu} e \rightarrow \bar{\nu} e$
$1.1 \pm 2.3$		ALLEN	91 CNTR	Repl. by ALLEN 93
		57 GRIFOLS	89B ASTR	SN 1987A

55 AUERBACH 01 measure  $\nu_e e$  elastic scattering with LSND detector. The cross section agrees with the Standard Model expectation, including the charge and neutral current interference. The 90% CL applies to the range shown.

56 VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a  $e\bar{\nu}$  elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses  $\sin^2\theta_{\text{WW}} = 0.23$  as input.

57 GRIFOLS 89B sets a limit of  $\langle r^2 \rangle < 0.2 \times 10^{-32}$  cm<sup>2</sup> for right-handed neutrinos.

$\nu_e$  REFERENCES

AUERBACH	01	PR D63 112001	L.B. Auerbach <i>et al.</i>	(LSND Collab.)
AYALA	99	PR D59 111901	A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres	
BEACOM	99	PRL 83 5222	J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel	
LOBASHEV	99	PL B460 227	V.M. Lobashev <i>et al.</i>	
RAFFELT	99	PRPL 320 319	G.G. Raffelt	
WEINHEIMER	99	PL B460 219	Ch. Weinheimer <i>et al.</i>	
Also	99B	PL B464 352 (erratum)	Ch. Weinheimer <i>et al.</i>	
BILLER	98	PRL 80 2992	S.D. Biller <i>et al.</i>	(WHIPPLE Collab.)
ELMFORS	97	NP B503 3	P. Elmfors <i>et al.</i>	
BELESEV	95	PL B350 263	A.I. Belesev <i>et al.</i>	(INRM, KIAE)
CHING	95	IJMP A10 2841	C.R. Ching <i>et al.</i>	(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)
HIDDEMANN	95	JP G21 639	K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker	(MUNT)
KERNAN	95	NP B437 243	P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss	(CASE)
STOEFFL	95	PRL 75 3237	W. Stoeffl, D.J. Decman	(LLNL)
YASUMI	94	PL B334 229	S. Yasumi <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, TSUK, KYOT+)
ALLEN	93	PR D47 11	R.C. Allen <i>et al.</i>	(UCI, LANL, ANL+)
DERBIN	93	JETPL 57 768	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
		Translated from ZETFP 57 755.		
SUN	93	CJNP 15 261	H.C. Sun <i>et al.</i>	(CIAE, CST, BEIJT)
WEINHEIMER	93	PL B300 210	C. Weinheimer <i>et al.</i>	(MANZ)
BLUDMAN	92	PR D45 4720	S.A. Bludman	(CFPA)
HOLZSCHUH	92B	PL B287 381	E. Holzschuh, M. Fritschi, W. Kundig	(ZURI)
MOURAO	92	PL B285 364	A.M. Mourao, J. Pulido, J.P. Ralston	(LISB, LISBT+)
VIDYAKIN	92	JETPL 55 206	G.S. Vidyakin <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
		Translated from ZETFP 55 212.		
ALLEN	91	PR D43 R1	R.C. Allen <i>et al.</i>	(UCI, LANL, UMD)
KAWAKAMI	91	PL B256 105	H. Kawakami <i>et al.</i>	(INUS, TOHOK, TINT+)
KRAKAUER	91	PR D44 R6	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E225 Collab.)
ROBERTSON	91	PRL 67 957	R.G.H. Robertson <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, LLL)
AVIGNONE	90	PR D41 682	F.T. Avignone, J.I. Collar	(SCUC)
KRAKAUER	90	PL B252 177	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E225 Collab.)
RAFFELT	90	PRL 64 2856	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
VOLOSHIN	90	NPBPS 19 433	M. Voloshin	(ITEP)
Neutrino 90 Conference				
CHUPP	89	PRL 62 505	E.L. Chupp, W.T. Vestrand, C. Reppin	(UNH, MPIM)
COWSIK	89	PL B218 91	R. Cowsik, D.N. Schramm, P. Hoflich	(WUSL, TATA+)
GRIFOLS	89B	PR D40 3819	J.A. Grifols, E. Masso	(BARC)
KOLB	89	PRL 62 509	E.W. Kolb, M.S. Turner	(CHIC, FNAL)
LOREDO	89	ANYAS 571 601	T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb	(CHIC)
RAFFELT	89	PR D39 2066	G.G. Raffelt	(PRIN, UCB)
RAFFELT	89B	APJ 336 61	G. Raffelt, D. Dearborn, J. Silk	(UCB, LLL)
BARBIERI	88	PRL 61 27	R. Barbieri, R.N. Mohapatra	(PISA, UMD)
BORIS	88	PRL 61 245 erratum	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, ASCI)
FUKUGITA	88	PRL 60 879	M. Fukugita <i>et al.</i>	(KYOTU, MPIM, UCB)
GOLDMAN	88	PRL 60 1789	I. Goldman <i>et al.</i>	(TELA)
LATTIMER	88	PRL 61 23	J.M. Lattimer, J. Cooperstein	(STON, BNL)
Also	88B	PRL 61 2633 erratum	J.M. Lattimer, J. Cooperstein	(STON, BNL)
NOTZOLD	88	PR D38 1658	D. Notzold	(MPIM)
RAFFELT	88B	PR D37 549	G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn	(UCB, LLL)
SPERGEL	88	PL B200 366	D.N. Spergel, J.N. Bahcall	(IAS)
STODOLSKY	88	PL B201 353	L. Stodolsky	(MPIM)
VOLOSHIN	88	PL B209 360	M.B. Voloshin	(ITEP)
Also	88B	JETPL 47 501	M.B. Voloshin	(ITEP)
		Translated from ZETFP 47 421.		
VOLOSHIN	88C	JETPL 68 690	M.B. Voloshin	(ITEP)
VONFEILITZ...	88	PL B200 580	F. von Feilitzsch, L. Oberauer	(MUNT)
BARBIELLINI	87	NAT 329 21	G. Barbiellini, G. Cocconi	(CERN)
BORIS	87	PRL 58 2019	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, ASCI)
Also	88	PRL 61 245 erratum	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, ASCI)
BORIS	87B	JETPL 45 333	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from ZETFP 45 267.		
FUKUGITA	87	PR D36 3817	M. Fukugita, S. Yazaki	(KYOTU, TOKY)
LONGO	87	PR D36 3276	M.J. Longo	(MICH)
LOSECCO	87B	PR D35 2073	J.M. LoSecco <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)
OBERAUER	87	PL B198 113	L.F. Oberauer, F. von Feilitzsch, R.L. Mossbauer	
SPRINGER	87	PR A35 679	P.T. Springer <i>et al.</i>	(LLNL)
KETOV	86	JETPL 44 146	S.N. Ketov <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
		Translated from ZETFP 44 114.		

RAFFELT	85	PR D31 3002	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
KYULDJIEV	84	NP B243 387	A.V. Kyuldjiev	(SOFI)
VOGEL	84	PR D30 1505	P. Vogel	
HENRY	81	PRL 47 618	R.C. Henry, P.D. Feldman	(JHU)
KIMBLE	81	PRL 46 80	R. Kimble, S. Bowyer, P. Jakobsen	(UCB)
MORGAN	81	PL 102B 247	J.A. Morgan	(SUSS)
FUJIKAWA	80	PRL 45 963	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	(STON)
LUBIMOV	80	PL 94B 266	V.A. Lyubimov <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
Also	80	SJNP 32 154	V.S. Kozik <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 32 301.		
Also	81	JETP 54 616	V.A. Lyubimov <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from ZETF 81 1158.		
STECKER	80	PRL 45 1460	F.W. Stecker	(NASA)
BEG	78	PR D17 1395	M.A.B. Beg, W.J. Marciano, M. Ruderman	(ROCK+)
LEE	77C	PR D16 1444	B.W. Lee, R.E. Shrock	(STON)
SUTHERLAND	76	PR D13 2700	P. Sutherland <i>et al.</i>	(PENN, COLU, NYU)
CLARK	74	PR D9 533	A.R. Clark <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
REINES	74	PRL 32 180	F. Reines, H.W. Sobel, H.S. Gurr	(UCI)
Also	78	Private Comm.	V.E. Barnes	(PURD)
BECK	68	ZPHY 216 229	E. Beck, H. Daniel	(MPIH)
BERNSTEIN	63	PR 132 1227	J. Bernstein, M. Ruderman, G. Feinberg	(NYU+)
COWAN	57	PR 107 528	C.L. Cowan, F. Reines	(LANL)

---